IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States.

No. 427 OCTOBER TERM, 1899.

THE CARNEGIE STEEL COMPANY, Limited,
Petitioner,

AGAINST

THE CAMBRIA IRON COMPANY, Respondent.

Brief for Petitioner in Reply to Respondent's Brief.

The brief for the respondent argues at length the merits of the decision. We hope to argue that fully after the writ is granted. We did not give to the Court any argument on that point, except so far as to enable the Court to have an outline of the nature of the case. We do not understand that the granting of the writ depends upon proof on this petition that the court below was wrong. That we ask to show later.

The principles which govern this procedure is that any case the authoritative decision of which by the highest tribunal is needful to stop litigation and to fairly deal with the whole subject in dispute, is appropriate for the writ of certiorari. We claim that the decision in this case is wrong, and ask opportunity to prove it, not only because it is wrong, but because wrong or right it is so stated, and the circumstances about it are such that both public and private interests, which are not small but great, should be so settled that the decision will be a guide for the future and the end of litigation.

THOMAS B. REED,
PHILANDER C. KNOX,
Of Counsel for Petitioner.